arXiv:1301.7143v1 [math.DG] 30 Jan 2013

ON THE (NON)REMOVABILITY OF SPECTRAL PARAMETERS IN $\mathbb{Z}_2$-GRADED ZERO-CURVATURE REPRESENTATIONS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

A. V. KISELEV[†] AND A. O. KRUTOV[‡]

Abstract. We generalize a standard method for inspecting the (non)removability of spectral parameters in zero-curvature representations to the case of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded partial differential equations. In particular, we illustrate a link between deformation techniques for two types of flat structures over such $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded equations, namely, their zero-curvature representations and construction of their parametric families by using the Frölicher–Nijenhuis bracket.

Introduction. Zero-curvature representations (ZCR) for partial differential equations (PDE) are input data data for a realization of the inverse scattering method [5, 26]. Therefore, the most interesting zero-curvature representations are those which contain a non-removable spectral parameter; in this case the system of PDEs is integrable. In the papers [21, 20] M. Marvan developed a remarkable method for inspecting the (non)removabiltity of a parameter in a given ZCR. We generalize this method to the case of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded differential equations (e.g., P. Mathieu's $N = 2$ supersymmetric Korteweg–de Vries equations [15]).

Another powerful technique for generating parametric families of nonlocal structures over PDE is based on the use of Frölicher–Nijenhuis bracket; this approach was developed by I. S. Krasil'schik *et al.* in [7, 8]. We analyze the link between these two methods in the case of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded PDEs.

This paper is structured as follows. We first fix some notation; then in section 2 we generalize Marvan's approach to proving the (non)removabilty of parameters in ZCRs to the case of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded partial differential equations. In section 3 we study the relation between the construction of parametric families of zero-curvature representations and corresponding families of coverings produced by using the Frölicher–Nijenhuis bracket.

1. Preliminaries

In this section we recall necessary definitions from supergeometry (we refer to [1, 17] and [2, 10, 24] for further detail).

Date: January 29, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q53, 37K25, 58J72, 58A50.

Key words and phrases. Zero-curvature representation, Gardner's deformation, Korteweg–de Vries equation, supersymmetry, Frölicher–Niheuhuis bracket.

[†] *Address*: Johann Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Groningen, P.O.Box 407, 9700 AK Groningen, The Netherlands. *E-mail*: `A.V.Kiselev@rug.nl`.

[‡] Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. *Address*: Department of Higher Mathematics, Ivanovo State Power University, Rabfakovskaya str. 34, Ivanovo, 153003 Russia. *E-mail*: `krutov@math.ispu.ru`.

1.1. **The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded calculus.** Let $\mathfrak{G}_{n_1}$ be a Grassman algebra over the field $\mathbb{R}$ with canonical generators $\theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{n_1}$, such that $\theta^i\theta^j + \theta^j\theta^i = 0$. The parity function $p\colon \mathfrak{G}_{n_1} \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ is defined on $\mathfrak{G}_{n_1}$ by the rule $\mathsf{p}(a) = \bar{0}$ for even elements $a \in \mathfrak{G}_q$ and $\mathsf{p}(a) = \bar{1}$ for odd elements $a \in \mathfrak{G}_{n_1}$.

Let M_0 be a smooth real manifold. Consider the algebra of smooth functions $\mathfrak{U}_q(M_0)$ on M_0 with values in $\mathfrak{G}_{n_1}$. Each function $f \in \mathfrak{U}_q(M_0)$ expands to a power series in $\{\theta^i\}$:

$$f(x,\theta) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} f_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}(x)\theta^{i_1}\ldots\theta^{i_k},$$

here $x \in M_0$ and $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \in C^\infty(M_0)$. The functions $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}$ are antisymmetric with respect to the indexes $i_1, \ldots, i_k$.

Let us extend the parity function p onto the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_{n_1}(M_0)$ as follows: an element $f \in \mathfrak{U}_q(M_0)$ is called *even* (so that $\mathsf{p}(f) = \bar{0}$) if $\mathsf{p}(f(x,\theta)) = \bar{0}$ for all $x \in M_0$, and f is called *odd* (hence $\mathsf{p}(f) = \bar{1}$) if $\mathsf{p}(f(x,\theta)) = \bar{0}$ for all $x \in M_0$.

The derivatives with respect to the respective even and odd variables x^i and θ^j are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded derivations of $\mathfrak{U}_q(M_0)$ defined by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f(x,\theta) &= \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}(x)\theta^{i_1}\ldots\theta^{i_k},\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j} f(x,\theta) &= \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} f_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}(x)(\delta^{i_1}_j\theta^{i_2}\cdots\theta^{i_k} - \delta^{i_2}_j\theta^{i_1}\theta^{i_3}\cdots\theta^{i_k} + \ldots).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta^i_j = 1$ if $i = j$ and $\delta^i_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$ is the Kronecker symbol. The derivatives satisfy the commutation relations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j} f = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i} f. \tag{1}$$

The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Leibniz rule is then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(fh) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f\right) h + f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} h\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i}(fh) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i} f\right) h + (-1)^{\mathsf{p}(f)} f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i} h\right).$$

Denote by n_0 the dimension of the smooth real manifold M_0. With every open subset $U \subseteq M_0$ we associate the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_{n_1|n_0}(U)$ of smooth functions on U with values in the Grassman algebra $\mathfrak{G}_{n_1}$. By definition, the manifold M_0 endowed with the sheaf of algebras $\mathfrak{U}(U)$ is a *supermanifold* [1]. The manifold M_0 is then called the *base manifold*. The pair $(n_0|n_1)$ is the dimension of that supermanifold, which we denote by M.

1.2. **The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded differential geometry.** We say that a differential form $\omega \in \Lambda^\star(M)$ on the supermanifold M is the expression

$$\omega = \sum \omega_{i_1,\ldots,i_k;j_1,\ldots,j_l}\, dx^{i_1}\ldots dx^{i_k} d\theta^{j_1}\ldots d\theta^{j_l}, \tag{2}$$

where the coefficients $\omega_{i_1,\ldots,i_k;j_1,\ldots,j_l}$ are antisymmetric w.r.t. the first group of indexes $\vec{\imath}$ and are symmetric w.r.t. the second group $\vec{\jmath}$. The space of differential forms $\Lambda^\star(M)$ is the graded $\mathbb{R}$-algebra generated by p anticommuting symbols $dx^1, \cdots, dx^p$ and q commuting symbols $d\theta^1, \cdots, d\theta^q$. It is important that the generators dx^i and $d\theta^j$ satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$dx^i \wedge dx^j = -dx^j \wedge dx^i, \quad dx^i \wedge d\theta^j = -d\theta^j \wedge dx^i, \quad d\theta^i \wedge d\theta^j = d\theta^j \wedge d\theta^i. \tag{3}$$

The exterior differential d act on $\omega \in \Lambda^\star(M)$ by the rule

$$d(\omega) = \sum_i dx^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(\omega) + \sum_j d\theta^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j}(\omega) \tag{4}$$

As it is the case for classical manifolds, equality $d\omega = 0$ implies that locally $\omega = d\omega_1$ [16] and equality $d(d\omega) = 0$ holds for any $\omega \in \Lambda^\star(M)$ [1].

The parity $\mathsf{p}(\omega)$ of a differential form $\omega = \omega_{i_1,\ldots,i_k;j_1,\ldots,j_l}\, dx^{i_1}\ldots dx^{i_k} d\theta^{j_1}\ldots d\theta^{j_l}$ is the sum of the parity $\mathsf{p}(\omega_{i_1,\ldots,i_k;j_1,\ldots,j_l})$ of its coefficient and the parity of $\mathsf{p}(dx^{i_1}) + \cdots + \mathsf{p}(dx^{i_k}) + \mathsf{p}(d\theta^{j_1}) + \cdots + \mathsf{p}(d\theta^{j_l})$ of generators in it, here $\mathsf{p}(dx^{i_k}) = \bar{0}$ and $\mathsf{p}(d\theta^{j_l}) = \bar{1}$.

The *substitution* of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector field X into a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded differential form ω is defined by the formula $i_X(\omega) = (-1)^{\mathsf{p}(X)\mathsf{p}(\omega)}\omega(X)$.

1.3. **The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded infinite jet bundles.** Let $\varSigma^n$ be an $n = (n_0|n_1)$-dimensional supermanifold, here $1 \leqslant n_0 < \infty$ and $0 \leqslant n_1 < \infty$, with even coordinates $x^1, \ldots, x^{n_0}$ and odd coordinates $\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^{n_1}$ which generate the Grassmann algebra $\mathfrak{G}_{n_1}$. Let $\pi\colon E^{n+m} \to \varSigma^n$ be a vector bundle over $\varSigma^n$ of fibre dimension $m = (m_0|m_1)$. In particular, we let $n = (2 \mid 0)$ so that the independent variables are $x^1 = x$ and $x^2 = t$; we have that $m = (1|0)$ for the Korteweg–de Vries equation, $m = (2|0)$ for the hierarchy of the Kaup–Boussinesq equation, and $m = (2|2)$ for the N=2 supersymmetric KdV equation, see [1, 15, 22].

Consider the jet space $J^\infty(\pi)$ of sections of the vector bundle π. The set of local coordinates on $J^\infty(\pi)$ is composed by

- even coordinates x^i and odd coordinates θ^j on $\varSigma^n$,
- even coordinates u^k and odd coordinates ξ^a along the fibres of π; these variables themselves are elements of the set of
- coordinates $u^k_{I,J}$ and $\xi^a_{I,J}$ along the fibres of the infinite jet bundle $\pi_\infty\colon J^\infty(\pi) \to \varSigma^n$.

In the above notation we let I be the multi-index that labels partial derivatives of the unknowns u^k and ξ^a w.r.t. even variables x^i, and J the multi-index that labels partial derivatives of u^k and ξ^a w.r.t. odd variables θ^j; by convention, $u^k_\varnothing \equiv u^k$ and $\xi^a_\varnothing \equiv \xi^a$. The parity function $\mathsf{p}\colon J^\infty(\pi) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{p}(x^i) &= \bar{0}, & \mathsf{p}(\theta^j) &= \bar{1},\\ \mathsf{p}(u^k) &= \bar{0}, & \mathsf{p}(\xi^a) &= \bar{1},\\ \mathsf{p}(u^k_{I,J}) &= |J| \mod 2 & \mathsf{p}(\xi^a_{I,J}) &= |J| + 1 \mod 2. \end{aligned}$$

The *total derivatives* on $J^\infty(\pi)$ are

$$D_{x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \sum_{I\in\mathbb{N},J\in(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_1}} u^k_{I+1_i,J}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k_{I,J}} + \sum_{a=1}^{m_1} \sum_{I\in\mathbb{N},J\in(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_1}} \xi^a_{I+1_i,J}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^a_{I,J}},$$

$$D_{\theta^j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \sum_{I\in\mathbb{N},J\in(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_1}} u^k_{I,J+1_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k_{I,J}} + \sum_{a=1}^{m_1} \sum_{I\in\mathbb{N},J\in(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_1}} \xi^a_{I,J+1_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^a_{I,J}}.$$

These vector fields commute.

Consider a system $\mathcal{E}$ of r partial differential equations,

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigl\{F^\ell(x^i, \theta^j, u^k, \ldots, u^k_{I,J}, \xi^a, \ldots, \xi^a_{I,J}) = 0, \quad \ell = 1, \ldots, r\bigr\}$$

The system $\{F^\ell = 0\}$ and all its differential consequences $D^{|I|}_{x^I} D^{|J|}_{\theta^J} F^\ell = 0$, which we assume existing for all $|I| + |J| \geq 1$, generate the infinite prolongation $\mathcal{E}^\infty$ of $\mathcal{E}$. The restrictions of D_{x^i} and D_{θ^j} onto $\mathcal{E}^\infty$ determine the Cartan distribution $\mathcal{C}$ on the tangent space $T\mathcal{E}^\infty$. Here and in what follows the notation $\bar{D}_{x^i}$ and $\bar{D}_{\theta^j}$ stands for the restrictions of the total derivatives onto $\mathcal{E}^\infty$.

The exterior differential $\bar{d}$ on $\Lambda(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$ splits to the sum $\bar{d} = \bar{d}_h + \bar{d}_{\mathcal{C}}$ of the horizontal differential $\bar{d}_h \colon \Lambda^{p,q}(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \to \Lambda^{p,q+1}(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$ and the vertical differential $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{C}} \colon \Lambda^{p,q}(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \to \Lambda^{p+1,q}(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$. The differential $\bar{d}_h$ can be expressed in coordinates by inspection of its actions on elements $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty) = \Lambda^{0,0}(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$, whence

$$\bar{d}_h \phi = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} dx^i \wedge \bar{D}_{x^i}\phi + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} d\theta^j \wedge \bar{D}_{\theta^j}\phi, \tag{5a}$$

$$\bar{d}_{\mathcal{C}} \phi = \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \sum_{I,J} \omega^k_{I,J} \wedge \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u^k_{I,J}} + \sum_{a=1}^{m_1} \sum_{I,J} \zeta^a_{I,J} \wedge \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi^a_{I,J}}, \tag{5b}$$

where we put

$$\omega^k_{I,J} = du^k_{I,J} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} u^k_{I+1_i,J} dx^i - \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} u^k_{I,J+1_j} d\theta^j,$$

$$\zeta^a_{I,J} = d\xi^a_{I,J} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \xi^a_{I+1_i,J} dx^i - \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \xi^a_{I,J+1_j} d\theta^j.$$

We have that

$$i_{\bar{D}_{x^i}}(\omega^k_{I,J}) = i_{\bar{D}_{\theta^l}}(\omega^k_{I,J}) = i_{\bar{D}_{x^i}}(\xi^a_{I,J}) = i_{\bar{D}_{\theta^l}}(\xi^a_{I,J}) = 0.$$

These equalities mean that the Cartan distribution can be equivalently described in terms of Cartan forms ω^k and ξ^a.

The restriction of Cartan distribution on $J^\infty(\pi)$ onto $\mathcal{E}^\infty$ is horizontal with respect to the projection $\pi_\infty\big|_{\mathcal{E}^\infty} \colon \mathcal{E}^\infty \to M$. This determines the connection $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}^\infty} \colon \mathrm{D}(M) \to \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$, where $\mathrm{D}(M)$ and $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$ are the modules of vector fields on M and $\mathcal{E}^\infty$ over the ring of smooth functions $C^\infty(M)$ and $C^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$, respectively. The connection form $U_{\mathcal{E}^\infty} \in \mathrm{D}(\Lambda^1(\mathcal{E}^\infty))$ of $C_{\mathcal{E}^\infty}$ is called the *structural element* of the equation $\mathcal{E}^\infty$; we denote by $\mathrm{D}(\Lambda^1(\mathcal{E}^\infty))$ the $C^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$-module of derivations $C^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \to \Lambda^1(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$ taking values in the $C^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$-module of one-forms on $\mathcal{E}^\infty$.

2. (Non)removability of parameters in $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded zero-curvature representations

Consider the tensor product (over the ring of $\mathfrak{G}_{n_1}$-valued smooth function on $\mathcal{E}^\infty$) of the exterior algebra $\bar{\Lambda}(\mathcal{E}^\infty) = \bigwedge^* \Lambda^{i,0}(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$ with a finite-dimensional matrix complex Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The product is endowed with the bracket

$$\underline{[}A \otimes \mu, B \otimes \nu\underline{]} = (-1)^{\mathsf{p}(B)\mathsf{p}(\mu)} \underline{[}A, B\underline{]} \otimes \mu \wedge \nu$$

for $\mu,\nu \in \bar{\Lambda}(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$ and $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$. We now define the operator $\bar{d}_h$ that acts on elements of $\bar{\Lambda}(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ by the rule

$$\bar{d}_h(A \otimes \mu) = A \otimes \bar{d}_h\mu,$$

where the horizontal differential $\bar{d}_h$ in the right-hand side is (5a). The tensor product $\bar{\Lambda}(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is a differential graded associative algebra with respect to the multiplication $(A\otimes\mu)\cdot(B\otimes\nu) = (-1)^{\mathsf{p}(B)\mathsf{p}(\mu)}(A\cdot B)\otimes\mu\wedge\nu$ induced by the ordinary matrix multiplication so that

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{[}\rho,\sigma\underline{]} &= \rho\cdot\sigma - (-1)^{rs}(-1)^{\mathsf{p}(\rho)\mathsf{p}(\sigma)}\sigma\cdot\rho,\\ \bar{d}_h(\rho\cdot\sigma) &= \bar{d}_h\rho\cdot\sigma + (-1)^r\rho\cdot\bar{d}_h\sigma\end{aligned}$$

for $\rho \in \bar{\Lambda}^r(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and $\sigma \in \bar{\Lambda}^s(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. Elements of $C^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ are called $\mathfrak{g}$-*matrices* [20].

Definition 1 ([19, 20, 21])**.** A horizontal 1-form $\alpha \in \bar{\Lambda}^1(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is called a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued *zero-curvature representation* for the equation $\mathcal{E}$ if the Maurer–Cartan condition

$$\bar{d}_h\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\underline{[}\alpha,\alpha\underline{]}. \tag{6}$$

holds by virtue of $\mathcal{E}$ and its differential consequences.

Let G be the Lie supergroup of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Given equation $\mathcal{E}$, for any zero-curvature representation α there exists the zero-curvature representation α^S such that

$$\alpha^S = \bar{d}_hS\cdot S^{-1} + S\cdot\alpha\cdot S^{-1}, \quad S \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty)\otimes G. \tag{7}$$

The zero-curvature representation α^S is called *gauge-equivalent* to α, and S is the *gauge transformation.* Elements of $C^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \otimes G$ are called *G-matrices.*

Definition 2. Let α_λ be a family of zero-curvature representations depending on a complex parameter $\lambda \in \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. The parameter λ is *removable* if the forms α_λ are gauge-equivalent at different values of $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$.

The following proposition and its proof are proper $\mathbb{Z}_2$-generalizations of M. Marvan's result for classical, non-graded systems of partial differential equations [20, 21].

Proposition 1. *The parameter λ in a family of zero-curvature representations α_λ for the system $\mathcal{E}$ is removable if and only if for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}$ there is a $\mathfrak{g}$-matrix Q_λ, depending smoothly on λ, such that $\mathsf{p}(Q_\lambda) = \bar{0}$ and*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\alpha_\lambda = \bar{d}_hQ_\lambda - \underline{[}\alpha_\lambda, Q_\lambda\underline{]}.$$

Proof. Suppose that λ is removable. This means that for any fixed λ_0 there exists a G-matrix S_λ such that $\alpha_{\lambda_0}^{S_\lambda} = \alpha_\lambda$ and $S_{\lambda_0} = 1 \in G$. The matrix $\dot{S}_{\lambda_0} = \partial/\partial\lambda|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}S_\lambda$ belongs to the tangent space at unit of G, i.e., to the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that

$\mathsf{p}(\dot S_{\lambda_0}) = \bar 0$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} \alpha_{\lambda_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} \alpha_\lambda^{S_\lambda^{-1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} \big(\bar d_h(S_\lambda^{-1})S_\lambda + S_\lambda^{-1}\alpha_\lambda S_\lambda\big)\\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} \big(-S_\lambda^{-1}\bar d_h S_\lambda + S_\lambda^{-1}\alpha_\lambda S_\lambda\big) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} S_{\lambda_0}^{-1}\bar d_h(S_{\lambda_0}) - S_{\lambda_0}^{-1} d\dot S_{\lambda_0} - S_{\lambda_0}^{-1}\dot S_{\lambda_0} S_{\lambda_0}^{-1}\alpha_{\lambda_0} S_{\lambda_0}\\
&\qquad + S_{\lambda_0}^{-1}\dot\alpha_{\lambda_0} S_{\lambda_0} + S_{\lambda_0}^{-1}\alpha_{\lambda_0}\dot S_{\lambda_0} = -d\dot S_{\lambda_0} - \dot S_{\lambda_0}\alpha_{\lambda_0} + \alpha_{\lambda_0}\dot S_{\lambda_0} + \dot\alpha_{\lambda_0}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\dot\alpha_{\lambda_0} = \bar d_h \dot S_{\lambda_0} - [\alpha_{\lambda_0}, \dot S_{\lambda_0}]$, where $\dot S \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \bar\Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$.

Conversely, suppose now that $\dot\alpha_\lambda = \bar d_h Q_\lambda - [\alpha_\lambda, Q_\lambda]$ for some $Q_\lambda \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes C^\infty(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$. Let $S_\lambda \in G$ be a solution of the matrix equation $\partial S/\partial\lambda = Q_\lambda S_\lambda$ with initial data $S_{\lambda_0} = \mathbf{1}$. Note that solutions S_λ exist only for even $\mathfrak{g}$-matrices Q_λ. Consider the expression $Z_\lambda = dS_\lambda + S_\lambda\alpha_{\lambda_0} - \alpha_\lambda S_\lambda = (\alpha_{\lambda_0}^{S_\lambda} - \alpha_\lambda)S_\lambda$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} Z_\lambda &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}(\bar d_h S_\lambda + S_\lambda\alpha_{\lambda_0} - \alpha_\lambda S_\lambda)\\
&= \bar d_h(\dot S_\lambda) + \dot S_\lambda\alpha_{\lambda_0} - \dot\alpha_\lambda S_\lambda - \alpha_\lambda\dot S_\lambda\\
&= \bar d_h(Q_\lambda S_\lambda) + Q_\lambda S_\lambda\alpha_{\lambda_0} - \dot\alpha_\lambda S_\lambda - \alpha_\lambda Q_\lambda S_\lambda =\\
&= \bar d_h Q_\lambda S_\lambda + Q_\lambda \bar d_h S_\lambda + Q_\lambda S_\lambda\alpha_{\lambda_0} - \dot\alpha_\lambda S_\lambda - \alpha_\lambda Q_\lambda S_\lambda + (Q_\lambda\alpha_\lambda S_\lambda - Q_\lambda\alpha_\lambda S_\lambda)\\
&= (\underbrace{\bar d_h Q_\lambda - \alpha_\lambda Q_\lambda + Q_\lambda\alpha_\lambda}_{\dot\alpha_\lambda} - \dot\alpha_\lambda)S_\lambda + Q_\lambda(\bar d_h S_\lambda + S_\lambda\alpha_{\lambda_0} - \alpha_\lambda S_\lambda)\\
&= Q_\lambda Z_\lambda.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that $Z_{\lambda_0} = 0$, whence $\alpha_{\lambda_0}^{S_\lambda} - \alpha_\lambda = 0$. Therefore, the parameter λ is removable. ☐

Example 1. Let us consider the four-component generalization of the KdV equation, namely, the N=2 supersymmetric Korteweg–de Vries equation (SKdV) [15]:

$$
\boldsymbol{u}_t = -\boldsymbol{u}_{xxx} + 3\big(\boldsymbol{u}\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2\boldsymbol{u}\big)_x + \frac{a-1}{2}\big(\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2\boldsymbol{u}^2\big)_x + 3a\boldsymbol{u}^2\boldsymbol{u}_x, \qquad \mathcal{D}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i} + \theta_i \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}, \tag{8}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{u}(x,t;\theta_1,\theta_2) = u_0(x,t) + \theta_1 \cdot u_1(x,t) + \theta_2 \cdot u_2(x,t) + \theta_1\theta_2 \cdot u_{12}(x,t) \tag{9}
$$

is the complex bosonic super-field, θ_1, θ_2 are Grassmann variables such that $\theta_1^2 = \theta_2^2 = \theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_2\theta_1 = 0$, u_0, u_{12} are bosonic fields ($\mathsf{p}(u_0) = \mathsf{p}(u_{12}) = \bar 0$), and u_1, u_2 are fermionic fields ($\mathsf{p}(u_1) = \mathsf{p}(u_2) = \bar 1$). Expansion (9) converts (8) to the four-component system[1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0;t} &= -u_{0;xxx} + \big(au_0^3 - (a+2)u_0u_{12} + (a-1)u_1u_2\big)_x, &\text{(10a)}\\
u_{1;t} &= -u_{1;xxx} + \big(\ \ (a+2)u_0u_{2;x} + (a-1)u_{0;x}u_2 - 3u_1u_{12} + 3au_0^2u_1\big)_x, &\text{(10b)}\\
u_{2;t} &= -u_{2;xxx} + \big(-(a+2)u_0u_{1;x} - (a-1)u_{0;x}u_1 - 3u_2u_{12} + 3au_0^2u_2\big)_x, &\text{(10c)}\\
\underline{u_{12;t}} &= \underline{-u_{12;xxx} - 6u_{12}u_{12;x}} + 3au_{0;x}u_{0;xx} + (a+2)u_0u_{0;xxx}\\
&\qquad + 3u_1u_{1;xx} + 3u_2u_{2;xx} + 3a\big(u_0^2u_{12} - 2u_0u_1u_2\big)_x. &\text{(10d)}
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[1] The Korteweg–de Vries equation upon u_{12}, see (16) below, is underlined in (10d).

The SKdV equation is most interesting (in particular, bi-Hamiltonian, whence completely integrable) if $a \in \{-2, 1, 4\}$, see [6, 11, 15]. Let us consider the bosonic limit $u_1 = u_2 = 0$ of system (10): by setting $a = -2$ we obtain the triangular system which consists of the modified KdV equation upon u_0 and the equation of KdV-type; in the case $a = 1$ we obtain the Krasil'shchik–Kersten system; for $a = 4$, we obtain the third equation in the Kaup–Boussinesq hierarchy. In what follows we consider the case of $a = 4$.

The N=2 supersymmetric a=4-KdV equation (10) admits [4, 12] the $\mathfrak{sl}(2 \mid 1)$-valued zero-curvature representation $\alpha^{N=2} = A\,\mathrm{d}x + B\,\mathrm{d}t$, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -iu_0 & \varepsilon^{-1}(u_0^2 + u_{12}) - \varepsilon^{-2}u_0 i & -\varepsilon^{-1}(u_2 + iu_1) \\ -\varepsilon & -iu_0 - \varepsilon^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & iu_1 - u_2 & -2iu_0 - \varepsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the $\mathfrak{sl}(2 \mid 1)$-matrix B, which is relatively large, is contained in Appendix 3 on p. 15.

We claim that there is no $\mathfrak{sl}(2 \mid 1)$-matrix Q satisfying the equalities

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}A = \bar{D}_x(Q) - \underline{[}A, Q\underline{]}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}B = \bar{D}_t(Q) - \underline{[}B, Q\underline{]}.$$

Consequently, the parameter ε in $\alpha^{N=2}$ is non-removable under gauge transformations.

We note that the zero-curvature representation $\alpha^{N=2}$ can be used for the construction of a solution, which is an alternative to the first solution reported in [6], of Gardner's deformation problem [15, 22] for the $N = 2$, $a = 4$ SKdV equation (we refer to [12] for detail). The parameter ε which we use here is the parameter in the classical Gardner deformation of the KdV equation [23], therefore we denote this parameter by ε instead of λ.

Example 2. Consider another $\mathfrak{sl}(2 \mid 2)$-value zero-curvature representation $\beta = A\,\mathrm{d}x + B\,\mathrm{d}t$ for the N=2, a=4-SKdV equation: we let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - iu_0 & -\lambda^2 - (u_0^2 + u_{12}) & -iu_1 - u_2 \\ 1 & -\lambda - iu_0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 - iu_1 & -2iu_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and again, the $\mathfrak{sl}(2 \mid 1)$-matrix B is written in Appendix B on p. 15.

The $\mathfrak{sl}(2 \mid 1)$-matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies the equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}A = \bar{D}_x(Q) - \underline{[}A, Q\underline{]}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}B = \bar{D}_t(Q) - \underline{[}B, Q\underline{]}.$$

Solving the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}S = QS, \quad S|_{\lambda=0} = \mathbf{1},$$

we obtain the $SL(2 \mid 1)$-matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix S defines the gauge transformation that removes the parameter λ from the zero-curvature representation β, i.e., $(\beta)^{S^{-1}} = \beta|_{\lambda=0}$. Consequently, the parameter λ in β is removable.

3. FAMILIES OF COVERINGS AND THE FRÖLICHER–NIJENHUIS BRACKET

From now on we assume that the base M of the superbundle π is just the base manifold M_0, i.e., we let $n_1 = 0$ and consider systems $\mathcal{E}$ of partial differential equations upon $m_0 + m_1$ unknowns that may depend only on the even independent variables x^i, $i = 1, \ldots, n_0$.

Consider a $(k_0|k_1)$-dimensional covering $\tau\colon \tilde{\mathcal{E}} = W \times \mathcal{E}^\infty \to \mathcal{E}^\infty$ with even nonlocal coordinates $w^1, \ldots, w^{k_0}$ and odd nonlocal coordinates $f^1, \ldots, f^{k_1}$ on a $(k_0|k_1)$-dimensional auxiliary supermanifold W. The prolongations $\tilde{D}_{x^i}$ of the total derivatives $\bar{D}_{x^i}$ to the covering equation $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ are given by the formula [2, 14]

$$\tilde{D}_{x^i} = \bar{D}_{x^i} + w^p_{x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial w^p} + f^q_{x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial f^q}.$$

These total derivatives $\tilde{D}_{x^i}$ determine the Cartan distribution $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ on the covering equation $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. In turn, the Cartan distribution $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ yields the connection $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}\colon \mathrm{D}(M) \to \mathrm{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$; the corresponding connection form $U_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \in \mathrm{D}(\Lambda^1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})))$ is called the *characteristic element* of the covering τ. Expressing $U_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}$ in coordinates, we obtain

$$U_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}} = \bar{d}_{\mathcal{C}}(u^k_{I,J})\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k_{I,J}} + \bar{d}_{\mathcal{C}}(\xi^a_{I,J})\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^a_{I,J}} + (dw^p - w^p_{x^i}\,dx^i)\frac{\partial}{\partial w} + (df^q - f^q_{x^i}\,dx^i)\frac{\partial}{\partial f}.$$

Next, let us recall that the Frölicher–Nijenhuis bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]^{\mathrm{FN}}$ on $\mathrm{D}(\Lambda^\star(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$ is defined by the formula [14]

$$[\Omega, \Theta]^{\mathrm{FN}}(g) = \mathrm{L}_\Omega(\Theta(g)) - (-1)^{rs + \mathsf{p}(\Omega)\mathsf{p}(\Theta)}\,\mathrm{L}_\Theta(\Omega(g)),$$

where $\Omega \in \mathrm{D}(\Lambda^r(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$, $\Theta \in \mathrm{D}(\Lambda^s(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$, and $f \in C^\infty\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$; here $\mathrm{L}_\Omega = i_\Omega \circ d + d \circ i_\Omega$ is the Lie derivative.

Let $\tau_\lambda\colon \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda = W_\lambda \times \mathcal{E}^\infty \to \mathcal{E}^\infty$ be a smooth family of coverings over $\mathcal{E}^\infty$ depending on a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and U_λ be the corresponding characteristic element of τ_λ. The evolution of U_λ with respect to λ is described by the equation [8, 7]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}U_\lambda = [X, U_\lambda]^{\mathrm{FN}}, \tag{11}$$

where $X \in \mathrm{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ is some vector field on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(k_0 + 1|k_1)$ be a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra with basis e_i, here $k_0, k_1 \geqslant 0$. Let us consider two representation of $\mathfrak{g}$:

(1) $\rho\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Mat}(k_0 + 1, k_1)$ — a matrix representation;

(2) $\varrho\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{C}; \mathrm{poly})$ — the representation in the space of vector fields with polynomial coefficients on the $(k_0|k_1)$-dimensional supermanifold W with local coordinates $w^1, \ldots, w^{k_0}$, $f^1, \ldots, f^{k_1}$.

We now recall an important example of such representation ϱ; this standard construction will be essential in what follows.

Example 3 (The projective substitution and nonlinear representations of Lie algebras in the spaces of vector fields [25])**.** Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra. We shall use the projective substitution [25] to construct a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the space of vector fields.

Let N be an $(k_0+1|k_1)$-dimensional supermanifold with local coordinates

$\boldsymbol{v} = (v^1, v^1, \ldots, v^{k_0+1}, f^1, \ldots, f^{k_1}) \in N$, and put $\partial_{\boldsymbol{v}} = (\partial_{w^1}, \partial_{w^2}, \ldots, \partial_{w^{k_0+1}}, \partial_{f^1}, \ldots, \partial_{f^{k_1}})^{\mathrm{t}}$.

For any $g \in \mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(k_0+1|k_1)$, its image V_g under the representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the space of vector fields on N is given by the formula

$$V_g = \boldsymbol{v} g \partial_{\boldsymbol{v}}.$$

We note that V_g is linear in v^i and f^j. By construction, the representation preserves the commutation relations in the initial Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\underline{[}V_g, V_h\underline{]} = \underline{[}\boldsymbol{v} g \partial_{\boldsymbol{v}}, \boldsymbol{v} h \partial_{\boldsymbol{v}}\underline{]} = \boldsymbol{v}\underline{[}g, h\underline{]}\partial_{\boldsymbol{v}} = V_{\underline{[}g,h\underline{]}}, \quad h, g \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Locally, at all points of N where $v_1 \neq 0$ we consider the projection

$$p\colon v^i \mapsto w^{i-1} = \mu v^i/v^1, \quad p\colon f^j \mapsto f^j = \mu f^j/v^1, \qquad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{12}$$

and its differential $\mathrm{d}p\colon \partial_{\boldsymbol{v}} \to \partial_{\boldsymbol{w}}$. The transformation p yields new coordinates on the open subset of N where $v^1 \neq 0$ and on the corresponding subset of TN:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{w} &= (\mu, w^1, \ldots, w^{k_0}, f^1, \ldots, f^{k_1}), \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{w}} &= (-\frac{1}{\mu}(\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} w^i \partial_{w^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} f^j \partial_{f^j}), \partial_{w^2}, \ldots, \partial_{w^{k_0}}, \partial_{f^1}, \ldots, \partial_{f^{k_1}})^{\mathrm{t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the vector field $X_g = \mathrm{d}p(V_g)$. In coordinates, we have

$$X_g = \boldsymbol{w} g \partial_{\boldsymbol{w}}. \tag{13}$$

We note that, generally, X_g is nonlinear with respect to w^i and f^j. The commutation relations between the vector fields of such type are also inherited from the relations in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\underline{[}X_g, X_f\underline{]} = \underline{[}\mathrm{d}p(V_g), \mathrm{d}p(V_f)\underline{]} = \mathrm{d}p(\underline{[}g, f\underline{]}) = \mathrm{d}p(V_{\underline{[}g,f\underline{]}}) = X_{\underline{[}g,f\underline{]}}.$$

We now take X_g for the representation $\varrho(g)$ of elements g of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

For the sake of definition we now set $n_0 = 2$, $n_1 = 0$, $x^1 = x$, $x^2 = t$, $k_0 = 1$, $k_1 = 0$, $w^1 = w$.

Using the representation ϱ we construct the prolongations of total derivatives

$$\tilde{D}_x = \bar{D}_x + w_x \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \qquad \tilde{D}_t = \bar{D}_t + w_t \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$$

by inspecting the way in which they must act on the nonlocal variable $w \in W$:

$$w_x = -a_i \varrho(e_i) \lrcorner\, dw, \tag{14a}$$

$$w_t = -b^j \varrho(e_j) \lrcorner\, dw. \tag{14b}$$

We thus obtain a one-dimensional covering $\tau\colon \tilde{\mathcal{E}} = W \times \mathcal{E}^\infty \to \mathcal{E}^\infty$ with nonlocal variable w.

Example 4. Let us consider the N=2, a=4 SKdV equation (10) and a family of coverings over it derived from the zero-curvature representations which we considered for this super-system in Example 1. We now solve equation (11) in three steps.

We start from the covering derived from the Gardner's deformation [23],

$$w_x = \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon}(w - u_{12}) - \varepsilon w^2, \tag{15a}$$

$$w_t = \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon}(u_{12;xx} + 2u_{12}^2) + \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon^2}u_{12;x} + \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon^3}u_{12} + \left(-2u_{12;x} - \tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}u_{12} - \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\right) w + \left(2\varepsilon u_{12} + \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) w^2, \tag{15b}$$

of the Korteweg–de Vries equation

$$u_{12;t} = -u_{12;xxx} - 6u_{12}u_{12;x}. \tag{16}$$

For a vector field

$$X = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \omega_\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{12;\sigma}} + \varphi\frac{\partial}{\partial w},$$

containing undetermined coefficients a, b, ω_σ and φ equation (11) for (15) splits into a system

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} w_x &= \tilde{D}_x\varphi - \varphi\frac{\partial w_x}{\partial w} - \omega_\sigma\frac{\partial w_x}{\partial u_{12;\sigma}} + b\left(\frac{\partial w_x}{\partial u_{12;\sigma}}u_{12;\sigma t} + \frac{\partial w_x}{\partial w}w_t - \tilde{D}_x w_t\right) - w_t\frac{\partial b}{\partial x}\\
&\quad + a\left(-\tilde{D}_x w_x + \frac{\partial w_x}{\partial u_{12;\sigma}}u_{12;\sigma x} + \frac{\partial w_x}{\partial w}w_x\right) - w_x\frac{\partial a}{\partial x},\\
-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} w_t &= \tilde{D}_t\varphi - \varphi\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial w} - \omega_\sigma\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial u_{12;\sigma}} + b\left(\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial u_{12;\sigma}}u_{12;\sigma t} + \frac{\partial w_t}{\partial w}w_t - \tilde{D}_t w_t\right) - w_t\frac{\partial b}{\partial t}\\
&\quad + a\left(-\tilde{D}_t w_x + \frac{\partial w_t}{\partial u_{12;\sigma}}u_{12;\sigma x} + \frac{\partial w_t}{\partial w}w_x\right) - w_x\frac{\partial a}{\partial t},\\
\omega_{\sigma x} &= \tilde{D}_x\omega_\sigma - u_{12;\sigma t}\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} - u_{12;\sigma x}\frac{\partial a}{\partial x}, \qquad \omega_{\sigma t} = \tilde{D}_t\omega_\sigma - u_{12;\sigma t}\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} - u_{12;\sigma x}\frac{\partial a}{\partial t}.
\end{aligned}$$

By introducing the vertical vector field $X' = X \lrcorner\, U_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}} = X - a\tilde{D}_x - b\tilde{D}_t = \varphi'\partial/\partial x + \omega'_\sigma\partial/\partial u_{12;\sigma}$ as in [14], we simplify this system:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} w_x &= \tilde{D}_x\varphi' - \varphi'\frac{\partial w_x}{\partial w} - \omega'_\sigma\frac{\partial w_x}{\partial u_{12;\sigma}},\\
-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} w_t &= \tilde{D}_t\varphi' - \varphi'\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial w} - \omega'_\sigma\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial u_{12;\sigma}},\\
\omega'_{\sigma x} &= \tilde{D}_x\omega'_\sigma,\\
\omega'_{\sigma t} &= \tilde{D}_t\omega'_\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that at all times one can return to the vector field X by using the relations

$$\varphi' = \varphi - aw_x - bw_t, \qquad \omega'_\sigma = \omega_\sigma - au_{12;\sigma x} - bu_{12;\sigma t}.$$

The solution of equation (11) for Gardner's deformation (15) of KdV equation (16) is then

$$X = -6t\varepsilon^{-1}\,\partial/\partial x - \varepsilon^{-1}\,\partial/\partial u_{12} + \ldots,$$

which is the Galilean symmetry of (16) (c.f. [8]).

Second, we consider the Kaup–Boussinesq equation [9]

$$u_{0;s} = (-u_{12} + 2u_0^2)_x, \qquad u_{12;s} = (u_{0;xx} + 4u_0u_{12})_x$$

and take its higher symmetry

$$u_{0;t} = -u_{0;xxx} + \bigl(4u_0^3 - 6u_0u_{12}\bigr)_x, \tag{17a}$$

$$u_{12;t} = -u_{12;xxx} - 6u_{12}u_{12;x} + 12u_{0;x}u_{0;xx} + 6u_0u_{0;xxx} + 12\bigl(u_0^2u_{12}\bigr)_x. \tag{17b}$$

We recall that system (17) is the bosonic limit of (10) with $a = 4$ under setting $u_1 = u_2 = 0$.

A family of coverings over equation (17) is determined by the formulas[2]

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{w_x} &= \underline{-\varepsilon w^2} + \varepsilon^{-1}(\underline{w - u_{12}} - u_0^2) + i\varepsilon^{-2}u_0,\\
\underline{w_t} &= 2\varepsilon w^2(-2u_0^2 + \underline{u_{12}}) + 2w(-iwu_0 + 4u_0u_{0;x}\underline{-u_{12;x}}) + \varepsilon^{-1}(\underline{w^2 - 2wu_{12} + 2u_{12}^2}\\
&\quad \underline{+u_{12;xx}} + 2iwu_{0;x} - 4u_0^4 - 2u_0^2u_{12} - 4u_0u_{0;xx} + 4wu_0^2 - 4u_{0;x}^2) + \varepsilon^{-2}(2iwu_0\\
&\quad + 2iu_0^3 - 4iu_0u_{12} - 4u_0u_{0;x} - iu_{0;xx} + \underline{u_{12;x}}) + \varepsilon^{-3}(\underline{u_{12} - w} - u_0^2 - iu_{0;x}) - i\varepsilon^{-4}u_0.
\end{aligned}$$

This covering is obtained by the standard change of a Lie algebra's representation in a zero-curvature representation for (17); in turn, that representation can be derived by using the reduction $u_1 = u_2 = 0$ in the zero-curvature representation for the N=2, a=4 SKdV equation (10) (see [4, 12] and Example 1). Remarkably, this zero-curvature representation for (17) was re-discovered in [3] not in the context of super-system (10).

For this family of coverings over system (17), the solution of equation (11) is given by the vector field

$$X = -\varepsilon^{-1}x\partial/\partial x - 3\varepsilon^{-1}t\partial/\partial t + \varepsilon^{-1}u_0\partial/\partial u_0 + 2\varepsilon^{-1}u_{12}\partial/\partial u_{12} + 2\varepsilon^{-1}w\partial/\partial w + \ldots$$

We note that, unlike the Galilean symmetry for the KdV equation (16) in its Gardner's deformation, it is the scaling symmetry which we obtain here.

Finally, let us consider the full N=2, a=4 SKdV equation (10) and over it, let us construct a $(1|1)$-dimensional covering by switching to a different representation of the Lie superalgebra in the $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$-valued zero-curvature representation α (this was considered in Example 1 on p. 6). Using the representation ϱ from Example 3, we obtain

---

[2] Here and in what follows we underline the covering that encodes Gardner's deformation (15) for the classical KdV equation (16).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{w_x} &= \underline{-\varepsilon w^2} + (f_2u_2 - f_2u_1i) + \varepsilon^{-1}(\underline{w - u_{12}} - u_0^2) + \varepsilon^{-2}iu_0,\\
f_x &= -\varepsilon w f_2 + iu_0f_2 + \varepsilon^{-1}(f_2 + u_2 + iu_1),\\
\underline{w_t} &= \varepsilon(-4w^2u_0^2 + \underline{2w^2u_{12}} + f_2wu_{2;x} - f_2wu_{1;x}i + 4f_2u_2wu_0i + 4f_2u_1wu_0) - 2w^2u_0i\\
&\quad + 8wu_0u_{0;x}\underline{-2wu_{12;x}} - 5f_2u_0u_{2;x}i - 5f_2u_0u_{1;x} - f_2u_{2;xx} + f_2u_{1;xx}i - f_2u_2w\\
&\quad + 8f_2u_2u_0^2 - 2f_2u_2u_{12} - 4f_2u_2u_{0;x}i + f_2u_1wi - 8f_2u_1u_0^2i + 2f_2u_1u_{12}i - 4f_2u_1u_{0;x}\\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{-1}(\underline{w^2 + 2u_{12}^2 - 2wu_{12} + u_{12;xx}} + 4wu_0^2 + 2wu_{0;x}i - 4u_0^4 - 2u_0^2u_{12} - 4u_0u_{0;xx}\\
&\quad - 4u_{0;x}^2 - f_2u_2u_0i - f_2u_1u_0 - u_2u_{2;x} - 8u_2u_1u_0 - u_1u_{1;x}) + \varepsilon^{-2}(2wu_0i + 2u_0^3i\\
&\quad - 4u_0u_{12}i - 4u_0u_{0;x} - u_{0;xx}i + \underline{u_{12;x}} - 2u_2u_1i) + \varepsilon^{-3}(\underline{u_{12} - w} - u_0^2 - u_{0;x}i) - \varepsilon^{-4}iu_0,\\
f_t &= 2\varepsilon w(-2f_2u_0^2 + f_2u_{12}) + wu_{2;x} + wu_{1;x}i - 2f_2wu_0i + 4f_2u_0^3i - 6f_2u_0u_{12}i + 4f_2u_0u_{0;x}\\
&\quad - f_2u_{0;xx}i - f_2u_{12;x} - 4f_2u_2u_1i - 4u_2wu_0i + 4u_1wu_0 + \varepsilon^{-1}(5u_0u_{2;x}i - 5u_0u_{1;x}\\
&\quad - u_{2;xx} - u_{1;xx}i + f_2w + 2f_2u_0^2 - f_2u_{12} + f_2u_{0;x}i + u_2w + 8u_2u_0^2 - 2u_2u_{12}\\
&\quad + 4u_2u_{0;x}i + u_1wi + 8u_1u_0^2i - 2u_1u_{12}i - 4u_1u_{0;x}) + \varepsilon^{-2}(-u_{2;x} - u_{1;x}i + f_2u_0i\\
&\quad + 3u_2u_0i - 3u_1u_0) - \varepsilon^{-3}(f_2 + u_2 + u_1i).
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that the solution of equation (11) is the vector field

$$
\begin{aligned}
&X = -\varepsilon^{-1}x\partial/\partial x - 3\varepsilon^{-1}t\partial/\partial t + \varepsilon^{-1}u_0\partial/\partial u_0 + (-3i\varepsilon wf + 3u_0f + 3i\varepsilon^{-1}f + 3i\varepsilon^{-1}u_2)\partial/\partial u_1\\
&+(-3\varepsilon wf - 3iu_0f + 3\varepsilon^{-1}f + 3\varepsilon^{-1}u_2)\partial/\partial u_2 + (3f(u_2 + iu_1) + 2\varepsilon^{-1}u_{12})\partial/\partial u_{12} + 2\varepsilon^{-1}w\partial/\partial w + \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

It has been obtained by solving equation (11) explicitly using the analytic software [13].

Consider two mappings, $\partial_\alpha = \bar{d}_h - \underline{[}\alpha, \cdot\underline{]}\colon \Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}^\infty)\otimes\mathfrak{g} \to \Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}^\infty)\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ (see [20]) and $\partial_U = [\cdot, U_\lambda]^{\text{FN}}\colon \mathrm{D}(\Lambda^0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})) \to \mathrm{D}(\Lambda^1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$ (see [8]). We recall that the mappings ∂_α and ∂_U yield the horizontal [20] and Cartan [8] cohomologies, respectively. However, we claim that in the geometry at hand one of these two differentials is a particular instance of the other by virtue of the switch between the Lie superalgebra's representations.

Lemma 1. *The following diagram is commutative*:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}^\infty)\otimes\mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}^\infty)\otimes\mathrm{Mat}(k_0+1 \mid k_1) \arrow[r, "\partial_\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\nabla"] & \Lambda^1(\mathcal{E}^\infty)\otimes\mathrm{Mat}(k_0+1 \mid k_1) \arrow[d, "\nabla"] \\
\Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}^\infty)\otimes\mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\varrho"] & \mathrm{D}(\Lambda^0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})) \arrow[r, "\partial_U"] & \mathrm{D}(\Lambda^1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\nabla = \varrho\circ\rho^{-1}$ is a switch from the representation ρ to the representation ϱ for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Consider $\gamma = q^k \otimes e_k \in \Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}^\infty)$ and put $\varrho(\gamma) = X \in \mathrm{D}(\Lambda^0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$ and $\rho(\gamma) = Q \in \Lambda^0(\mathcal{E}^\infty) \otimes \mathrm{Mat}(k_0 + 1 \mid k_1)$. A direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\nabla \circ \partial_\alpha \circ \rho)(\gamma) &= (\nabla \circ \partial_\alpha)(Q) = \nabla(\bar{d}_h Q - \underline{[}\alpha, Q\underline{]}) \\
&= \nabla \Big(dx(\bar{D}_x(q^k)\rho(e_k) - \underline{[}a^i\rho(e_i), q^k\rho(e_k)\underline{]}) + dt(\bar{D}_t(q^k)\rho(e_k) - \underline{[}b^j\rho(e_j), q^k\rho(e_k)\underline{]}\Big) \\
&= dx(\bar{D}_x(q^k)\varrho(e_k) + [-a^i\varrho(e_i), q^k\varrho(e_k)]) + dt(\bar{D}_t(q^k)\varrho(e_k) + [-b^i\varrho(e_i), q^k\varrho(e_k)]).
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\partial_U \circ \varrho)(\gamma) &= \partial_u X = [X, U_\lambda]^{\mathrm{FN}} \\
&= \Big[dx(\tilde{D}_x(X \lrcorner\, dw) - (X \lrcorner\, dw)\frac{\partial w_x}{\partial w} + dt(\tilde{D}_t(X \lrcorner\, dw) - (X \lrcorner\, dw)\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial w})\Big] \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the formula $\tilde{D}_x(X \lrcorner\, dw) = \bar{D}_x(X \lrcorner\, dw) + w_x \frac{\partial}{\partial w}(X \lrcorner\, dw)$, we continue the equality and obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Big[dx(\bar{D}_x(X \lrcorner\, dw) + w_x\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(X \lrcorner\, dw) - (X \lrcorner\, dw)\frac{\partial w_x}{\partial w}) \\
&\qquad + dt(\bar{D}_t(X \lrcorner\, dw) + w_t\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(X \lrcorner\, dw) - (X \lrcorner\, dw)\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial w})\Big] \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \\
&= \Big[dx(\bar{D}_x(X) \lrcorner\, dw + \underline{[}w_x\frac{\partial}{\partial w}, X\underline{]} \lrcorner\, dw) + dt(\bar{D}_t(X) \lrcorner\, dw + \underline{[}w_t\frac{\partial}{\partial w}, X\underline{]} \lrcorner\, dw)\Big] \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w}.
\end{aligned}
$$

From formulas (14) we infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Big[dx(\bar{D}_x(q^k)\varrho(e_k) + [-a^i\varrho(e_i), q^k\varrho(e_k)]) \lrcorner\, dw \\
&\qquad + dt(\bar{D}_t(q^k)\varrho(e_k) + [-b^i\varrho(e_i), q^k\varrho(e_k)]) \lrcorner\, dw)\Big] \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \\
&= dx(\bar{D}_x(q^k)\varrho(e_k) + [-a^i\varrho(e_i), q^k\varrho(e_k)]) \\
&\qquad + dt(\bar{D}_t(q^k)\varrho(e_k) + [-b^i\varrho(e_i), q^k\varrho(e_k)]).
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we obtain that $(\nabla \circ \partial_\alpha \circ \rho)(\gamma) = (\partial_U \circ \varrho)(\gamma)$, which proves our claim. □

Now let us study in more detail the case of *removable* parameters. Let $\alpha(\lambda) = a^i\rho(e_i)\,\mathrm{d}x + b^j\rho(e_j)\,\mathrm{d}t$ be a smooth family of $\mathfrak{g}$-valued zero-curvature representations for the system $\mathcal{E}$ but let the parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be removable. By Proposition 1, there is a $\mathfrak{g}$-matrix $Q = q^k\rho(e_k)$ such that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\alpha = \bar{d}_h Q - [\alpha, Q]. \tag{18}
$$

In components, we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(a^i)\rho(e_i) = \bar{D}_x(q^k)\rho(e_k) - a^i q^k \rho([e_i, e_k]). \tag{19}
$$

By virtue of the representation ϱ, at every λ the $\mathfrak{g}$-matrix $Q = q^k\rho(e_k)$ determines the vector field $X = q^k\varrho(e_k)$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$.

The following proposition is a regular generator of solutions for equation (11) in the case of coverings derived from zero-curvature representations with removable parameter.

(It was remarked in [7] that the formalism of zero-curvature representations and their parametric families can be viewed as a special case of the Frölicher–Nijenhuis bracket formalism for deformations of coverings of unspecified nature; we thus substantiate that claim from *loc. cit.* by giving an explicit proof).

Proposition 2. *The vector field* $X = q^k \varrho(e_k)$ *satisfies structure equation* (11)

Proof. From Lemma 1 we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}[X, U_\lambda]^{\text{FN}} = \Big[& dx(\bar{D}_x(q^k)\varrho(e_k) + [-a^i\varrho(e_i), q^k\varrho(e_k)]) \mathbin{\lrcorner} dw \\ & + dt(\bar{D}_t(q^k)\varrho(e_k) + [-b^i\varrho(e_i), q^k\varrho(e_k)]) \mathbin{\lrcorner} dw)\Big] \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (19), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&= \Big[dx\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(a^i)(\varrho(e_i) \mathbin{\lrcorner} dw) + dt\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(b^i)(\varrho(e_i) \mathbin{\lrcorner} dw)\Big] \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \\ &= \Big[-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(w_x)dx - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(w_t)dt\Big] \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}U_\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

This proves that the vector field X is a solution of equation (11). ☐

Remark 1. This proof can be easily extended to the case of any finite $n_0, k_0, k_1 < \infty$.

Example 5. Let us construct a (1|1)-dimensional covering over N=2, a=4 SKdV equation (10) from the $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$-valued zero-curvature representation α considered in Example 2 on p. 7. Using representation ϱ from Example 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
w_x &= \lambda^2 + 2\lambda w + w^2 + u_0^2 + u_{12} - f_2u_2 + if_2u_1,\\
f_x &= \lambda f_2 + f_2w + if_2u_0 + u_2 + iu_1,\\
w_t &= 2\lambda^2(2u_0^2 - u_{12}) + \lambda(8wu_0^2 - 4wu_{12} + 8u_0u_{0;x} - 2u_{12;x} + fu_{2;x} - fu_{1;x}i\\
&\quad + 4fu_2u_0i + 4fu_1u_0) + 4w^2u_0^2 - 2w^2u_{12} + 8wu_0u_{0;x} - 2wu_{12;x} + 4u_0^4 + 2u_0^2u_{12}\\
&\quad + 4u_0u_{0;xx} - 2u_{12}^2 + 4u_{0;x}^2 - u_{12;xx} + fwu_{2;x} - fwu_{1;x}i + 5fu_0u_{2;x}i + 5fu_0u_{1;x}\\
&\quad + fu_{2;xx} - fu_{1;xx}i + 4fu_2wu_0i - 8fu_2u_0^2 + 2fu_2u_{12} + 4fu_2u_{0;x}i + 4fu_1wu_0\\
&\quad + 8fu_1u_0^2i - 2fu_1u_{12}i + 4fu_1u_{0;x} + u_2u_{2;x} + 8u_2u_1u_0 + u_1u_{1;x},\\
f_t &= \lambda(-u_{2;x} - u_{1;x}i + 4fu_0^2 - 2fu_{12} + 4u_2u_0i - 4u_1u_0) - wu_{2;x} - wu_{1;x}i + 5u_0u_{2;x}i\\
&\quad - 5u_0u_{1;x} - u_{2;xx} - u_{1;xx}i + 4fwu_0^2 - 2fwu_{12} + 4fu_0^3i - 6fu_0u_{12}i + 4fu_0u_{0;x}\\
&\quad - fu_{0;xx}i - fu_{12;x} - 4fu_2u_1i + 4u_2wu_0i + 8u_2u_0^2 - 2u_2u_{12} + 4u_2u_{0;x}i - 4u_1wu_0\\
&\quad + 8u_1u_0^2i - 2u_1u_{12}i - 4u_1u_{0;x}.
\end{aligned}$$

In agreement with Proposition 2, we find the solution $X = \partial/\partial w$ of equation (11): indeed, this field is obtained from the $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$-matrix Q, which we introduced in Example 2.

Conclusion. We extended – to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded case – M. Marvan's method for inspecting the (non)removability of a parameter in a given family of zero-curvature representations; specifically, we accomplished the task of balancing the signs in a nonselfcontradictory way. Let us note that this generalisation of the standard technique can be used further in solving Gardner's deformation problems for the $N{=}2$-supersymmetric KdV equations and other $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded completely integrable systems.

At the same time, we confirmed that a switch between the representations of Lie (super)algebras establishes a link between the two classes of nonlocal geometries and also between the arising differentials. In particular, by inspecting this relation in the case of zero-curvature representations with removable parameters λ, we explicitly described the equivalence classes of τ_λ-shadows that determine, by virtue of structure equation (11), the evolution of Cartan's characteristic elements in families of coverings τ_λ.

Appendix A.

The elements of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2\mid 1)$-matrix B from Example 1,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{11} &= 4iu_0^3 - 6iu_0u_{12} + 4u_0u_{0;x} - iu_{0;xx} - u_{12;x} - 4iu_2u_1 + \varepsilon^{-1}(2u_0^2 - u_{12} - iu_{0;x}) - i\varepsilon^{-2}u_0,\\
b_{12} &= \varepsilon^{-1}(4u_0^4 + 2u_0^2u_{12} + 4u_0u_{0;xx} - 2u_{12}^2 + 4u_{0;x}^2 - u_{12;xx} + u_2u_{2;x} + 8u_2u_1u_0 + u_1u_{1;x}) +\\
&\qquad + \varepsilon^{-2}(2iu_0^3 - 4iu_0u_{12} + 4u_0u_{0;x} - iu_{0;xx} - u_{12;x} - 2iu_2u_1) + \varepsilon^{-3}(u_0^2 - u_{12} - iu_{0;x}) -\\
&\qquad - i\varepsilon^{-4}u_0,\\
b_{13} &= \varepsilon^{-1}(-5iu_0u_{2;x} - 5u_0u_{1;x} - u_{2;xx} + iu_{1;xx} + 8u_2u_0^2 - 2u_2u_{12} - 4iu_2u_{0;x} - 8iu_1u_0^2 +\\
&\qquad + 2iu_1u_{12} - 4u_1u_{0;x}) + \varepsilon^{-2}(-u_{2;x} + iu_{1;x} - 3iu_2u_0 - 3u_1u_0) + \varepsilon^{-3}(-u_2 + iu_1),\\
b_{21} &= 2\varepsilon(-2u_0^2 + u_{12}) + 2iu_0 + \varepsilon^{-1},\\
b_{22} &= 4iu_0^3 - 6iu_0u_{12} - 4u_0u_{0;x} - iu_{0;xx} + u_{12;x} - 4iu_2u_1 + \varepsilon^{-1}(-2u_0^2 + u_{12} + iu_{0;x}) +\\
&\qquad + i\varepsilon^{-1}u_0 + \varepsilon^{-3},\\
b_{23} &= u_{2;x} - iu_{1;x} + 4iu_2u_0 + 4u_1u_0 + \varepsilon^{-1}(u_2 - iu_1),\\
b_{31} &= \varepsilon(-u_{2;x} - iu_{1;x} + 4iu_2u_0 - 4u_1u_0) + u_2 + iu_1,\\
b_{32} &= 5iu_0u_{2;x} - 5u_0u_{1;x} - u_{2;xx} - iu_{1;xx} + 8u_2u_0^2 - 2u_2u_{12} + 4iu_2u_{0;x} + 8iu_1u_0^2 - 2iu_1u_{12} -\\
&\qquad - 4u_1u_{0;x} + \varepsilon^{-1}u_0(iu_2 - u_1),\\
b_{33} &= 2(4iu_0^3 - 6iu_0u_{12} - iu_{0;xx} - 4iu_2u_1) + \varepsilon^{-3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix B.

The elements of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2\mid 1)$-matrix B from Example 2,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{11} &= 2\lambda(2u_0^2 - u_{12}) - 4u_0^3 i + 6u_0u_{12}i + 4u_0u_{0;x} + u_{0;xx}i - u_{12;x} + 4u_2u_1i,\\
b_{12} &= 2\lambda^2(-2u_0^2 + u_{12}) + 2\lambda(-4u_0u_{0;x} + u_{12;x}) - 4u_0^4 - 2u_0^2u_{12} - 4u_0u_{0;xx} + 2u_{12}^2\\
&\qquad - 4u_{0;x}^2 + u_{12;xx} - u_2u_{2;x} - 8u_2u_1u_0 - u_1u_{1;x},\\
b_{13} &= \lambda(u_{2;x} + u_{1;x}i - 4u_2u_0i + 4u_1u_0) - 5u_0u_{2;x}i + 5u_0u_{1;x} + u_{2;xx} + u_{1;xx}i\\
&\qquad - 8u_2u_0^2 + 2u_2u_{12} - 4u_2u_{0;x}i - 8u_1u_0^2i + 2u_1u_{12}i + 4u_1u_{0;x},\\
b_{21} &= 2(2u_0^2 - u_{12}),\\
b_{22} &= 2\lambda(-2u_0^2 + u_{12}) - 4u_0^3i + 6u_0u_{12}i - 4u_0u_{0;x} + u_{0;xx}i + u_{12;x} + 4u_2u_1i,\\
b_{23} &= u_{2;x} + u_{1;x}i - 4u_2u_0i + 4u_1u_0,\\
b_{31} &= u_{2;x} - u_{1;x}i + 4u_2u_0i + 4u_1u_0,\\
b_{32} &= \lambda(-u_{2;x} + u_{1;x}i - 4u_2u_0i - 4u_1u_0) - 5u_0u_{2;x}i - 5u_0u_{1;x} - u_{2;xx} + u_{1;xx}i + 8u_2u_0^2\\
&\qquad - 2u_2u_{12} - 4u_2u_{0;x}i - 8u_1u_0^2i + 2u_1u_{12}i - 4u_1u_{0;x},\\
b_{33} &= 2i(-4u_0^3 + 6u_0u_{12} + u_{0;xx} + 4u_2u_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements. The authors thank M. Marvan and A. M. Verbovetsky for stimulating discussions and constructive criticisms. This research was done in part while the first author was visiting at the MPIM (Bonn) and the second author was visiting at Utrecht University; the hospitality and support of both institutions are gratefully acknowledged. The research of the first author was partially supported by JBI RUG project 103511 (Groningen).

References

[1] *F. A. Berezin* (1987) Introduction to superanalysis. Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics **9**. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht–Boston, MA.

[2] *A. V. Bocharov, A. M. Verbovetsky, A. M. Vinogradov et al.* (1999) Symmetries and conservation laws for differential equations of mathematical physics (I. S. Krasil'shchik and A. M. Vinogradov, eds). AMS, Providence, RI.

[3] *A. B. Borisov, M. P. Pavlov, S. A. Zykov* (2001), Proliferation scheme for Kaup-Boussinesq system, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **152**, 104–109.

[4] *A. Das, W.-J. Huang, S. Roy* (1992) Zero curvature condition of $\mathfrak{osp}(2/2)$ and the associated supergravity theory, *Internat. J. Modern Phys.* **18**, 4293–4311.

[5] *L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Takhtajan* (1987) Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solituons. Springer–Verlag, Berlin.

[6] *V. Hussin, A. V. Kiselev, A. O. Krutov, T. Wolf* (2010) N=2 supersymmetric a=4-Korteweg–de Vries hierarchy derived via Gardner's deformation of Kaup–Boussinesq equation, *J. Math. Phys* **51**:8, 083507, 19 pp. `arXiv:nlin.SI/0911.2681`

[7] *S. Igonin, P. H. M. Kersten, I. S. Krasil'shchik* (2003) On symmetries and cohomological invariants of equations possessing flat representations, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **19**, 319–342. `arXiv:math.DG/0301344`

[8] *S. Igonin, J. S. Krasil'shchik* (2002) On one-parametric families of Bcklund transformations, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **37**, 99–114. `arXiv:nlin.SI/0010040`

[9] *D. J. Kaup* (1975) A higher-order water-wave equation and the method for solving it, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **54**:2, 396–408

[10] *A. V. Kiselev* (2012) The twelve lectures in the (non)commutative geometry of differential equations, *Preprint* IHÉS/M/12/10, 140 pp.

[11] *A. V. Kiselev, V. Hussin* (2009) Hirota's virtual multisoliton solutions of $N{=}2$ supersymmetric Korteweg–de Vries equations, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **159**:3, 832–840. `arXiv:nlin.SI/0810.0930`

[12] *A. V. Kiselev, A. O. Krutov* (2012) Gardner's deformations of the graded Kortewegde Vries equations revisited, *J. Math. Phys* **53**:10, 103511 `arXiv:nlin.SI/1108.2211`

[13] *A. V. Kiselev, T. Wolf* (2007) Classification of integrable super-systems using the SsTools environment, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **177**:3, 315–328. `arXiv:nlin.SI/0609065`

[14] *I. S. Krasil'shchik, P. H. M. Kersten* (2000) Symmetries and recursion operators for classical and supersymmetric differential equations. Kluwer, Dordrecht.

[15] *P. Labelle, P. Mathieu* (1991) A new supersymmetric Korteweg–de Vries equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **32**:4, 923–927.

[16] *D. A. Leites* (1975) Cohomologies of Lie superalgebras, *Functional Analysis and Its Applications* **9**:4, 340–341.

[17] *D. A. Leites* (1980) Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds, *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **35**:1, 1–64.

[18] *D. A. Leites* (1985) Lie superalgebras, *J. Math. Sci.*, **30**:6, 2481–2512.

[19] *Yu. I. Manin* (1985) Holomorphic supergeometry and Yang–Mills superfields, *J. Math. Sci.*, **30**:2, 1927–1975.

[20] *M. Marvan* (2002) On the horizontal gauge cohomology and non-removability of the spectral parameter, *Acta Appl. Math.* **72**, 51–65.

[21] *M. Marvan* (2010) On the spectral parameter problem, *Acta Appl. Math.* **109**, 239–255.

[22] *P. Mathieu* (2001) Open problems for the super KdV equations, in: Bäcklund and Darboux transformations. The geometry of solitons (A. Coley, D. Levi, R. Milson, C. Rogers, and P. Winternitz, eds). CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **29** AMS, 325–334. `arXiv:math-ph/0005007`

[23] *R. M. Miura* (1968) Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. I. A remarkable nonlinear substitution, *J. Math. Phys.* **9**, 1202–1204.

[24] *P. J. Olver* (2000) Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, Grad. Texts in Math. **107** (2nd ed.), Springer–Verlag, NY.

[25] *M. Roelofs* (1993) Prolongation structures of supersymmetric systems. PhD Thesis. University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands, 144 pp.

[26] *V. E. Zakharov, A. B. Shabat* (1979) Integration of nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of inverse scattering. II, *Functional Analysis and its Applications* **13**:3, 166–174.